



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/626,114 | 07/24/2003 | Steven E. Riedl | 61575.1034 | 9519 |
| 70044 | 7590 | 07/01/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP | | | STOKELY-COLLINS, JASMINE N | |
| 1300 POST ROAD | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 205 | | | 2623 | |
| FAIRFIELD, CT 06824 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 07/01/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/13/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 3 of applicant's arguments, applicant argues his invention distinguishes over the prior art because the prior art does not meet limitation "the first data being contained in the information section of the data object without any data overhead portion associated with the first data in the information section. The examiner disagrees; Petersen '023 teaches an ATM cell with an information section and a header, in which the higher priority data (first data) is completely contained in the information section and has no data overhead anywhere else in ATM cell; the only data overhead portion of the ATM cell is the ATM cell header, which is not specific to the first data. The examiner recognizes other interpretations may exist, however the instant interpretation of the prior art still reads on the claim as written.